

PROJECT	Minutes of Meeting of Community Liaison Community Group Huia Water Treatment Plant Replacement held at Lopdell House, 418 Titirangi Road, Titirangi
DATE	22 August 2017
PRESENT	P Walbran (Chair), R Murphy, R Woolf, C Hamiaux, B Harvey, M Barton, M Allen, S Freeman, A van den Broeke, S Kitson, N Wilkinson
STAFF IN ATTENDANCE	P Jones – I Boothroyd (Ecologist - Boffa Miskell)
APOLOGIES	P Maddison, G Davidson, M Harvey, G Presland

1. Introduction	ACTION
PWalbran spoke to the previous minutes and sought clarification that individuals with expertise be invited to the meetings when appropriate	
2. Matters arising from Minutes	
Apart from the matter above, there were no matters arising.	
3. Ecological Survey	
<p>IBoothroyd spoke to the scope of service that Boffa had forwarded to Watercare and the matters that had been raised by members of the CLG.</p> <p>A general discussion followed.</p> <p>JEdgar recommended that Shona Myers be engaged to lead the CLG of experts as she is well respected with the ecological community.</p> <p>The GCL were in agreement that Shona should be contacted and if available would be an appropriate person.</p> <p>The general discussion continued and it was agreed that rather than debate the most appropriate process, it would be better to leave it to the experts to draft a scope and then present this to the next CLG meeting.</p>	Scope of ecological survey/work be presented to the next CLG meeting
4. Other Matters	
<p>MBourne outlined the processes under the Resource Management Act and Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative in Part) that were required to erect the plant on the site. He described the resource consent process and the Outline Plan of Works (OPW).</p> <p>The resource consents required with be earthworks and vegetation removal. There be a need to gain consent to work within a water course.</p> <p>MBourne pointed out that the resource consents will be notified and open to submissions from the community/individuals. However as the site was subject to a designation, the erection and operation of the actual plant only required a OPW. The</p>	PJones to forward list to matters to all members

<p>Council cannot require an OPW to be notified nor can the Council decline approval, their role is to assess the proposal and make appropriate comments. Watercare can accept, accept in part or reject these comments.</p> <p>AvandenBroeke questioned the actual area that would be approved and whether contractors have the ability to go outside the consented area.</p> <p>MBourne explained that no, they cannot and it would be up to the contractor to program the build so that any laydown areas, etc were within the approved footprint.</p> <p>PJones to circulate – list of experts, scope of works received from the experts,. List of items that are of interest and to request that the members rank in importance.</p> <p>Next meeting arranged for 21 August – in same venue at the same time.</p>	
<p>5. Next Meeting</p>	
<p><u>Actions</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Discussion on ecological scope - Draft programme of events/matters <p>Meeting closed at 21.00.</p>	<p>PJones</p>

Certified as true and correct record

Paul Walbran

Date

DRAFT